

**MERAB KALANDADZE**

Ivane Javakhishvili
Tbilisi state university,
Georgia
merab.kalandadze@tsu.ge

DIMITRI UZNADZE ABOUT HANNIBAL

pervious work historiographical Character wears and to aim aims to find out If how it is possible to be Dimitri Uznadze attitude, of Carthage outstanding Mkhartartchrav, to Hannibal. this this at an angle of the issue coverage first It is an attempt.

Dimitri Uznadze his school In the manual “ Old Rome “, naturally, will touch second Punic the war and of Hannibal work. here this is he scarce information that our at hand is other more tangible material no That’s why we have it that should let’s believe which we have

Dimitri Uznadze own contribution filed and whenever possible tried in front took it in Georgia of Hannibal of life and activity Teaching, learning, popularization.to Hannibal Dimitri Uznadze attitude main as dignity We consider : 1. Dimitri Uznadze of Hannibal in work Enough insight reveals and well can be seen informed that first Everything, empirical, factual material of knowledge logical The result is ; 2. Dimitri Uznadze by of Hannibal activity Positive Rate completely moderate should let it be and Acceptable can be seen this in history personality role Realization It is echoed. this of the issue promotion in the case his main Merit, we think, that’s right in this should to be

we think exists basis Let’s prove that of Hannibal activity study in the matter Georgian Historiography Correct, scientific by way of was going correct choice He was doing it right accents He drank well was realizing in history personality role. If No political cataclysms, this way It would continue, but of Georgia Sovietization then of Hannibal activity coverage all other direction Purchased, total other Character got, which initial of the road In continuation, forward step by step it is difficult consider of Hannibal role Positive Rate and in history personality role Realization, completely the opposite principles replaced. this you are of Hannibal to work restrained The attitude of the person role Underestimation, this you are correct from the road twist.

case we have in Georgia second Punic of war and his main the hero of Hannibal activity coverage first with an attempt that as we we think successfully it is possible consider him, ours deep in faith honors Much more more more has than defect.

KEYWORDS: Uznadze, Hannibal, Historiography

Totalitarian - Bolshevik mode with effort, historical in science Dimitri Uznadze The contribution is great for a long time during, taboo topic represented and wide for society compared to less was famous. this to the topic Such indifferent Attitude, what? say It should be empty on the soil no emerged, accidental no It was, obviously goes beyond Holy academic frames and Sharply highlighted political suit has First of all, in 1918-1921 of Georgia sovereign of the Republic to success negative with attitude is associated with Such was political will Soviet approach was very much politicized and Ideologicalized, none scientific basis no had more more More, he It is anti-national, anti- patriotic. cons Obviously dominates on pluses. this in the field of Georgia sovereign of the Republic to achievements indifferent attitude this It is echoed.

Dimitri Uznadze own contribution filed and whenever possible tried in front took it in Georgia the world of history Teaching, learning, popularization. Georgian of historiography in front of hers merit two circumstance Caused by : it at school taught the world history and active participation Received in 1918-1921 of Georgia sovereign in the Republic out of the world of history first National school textbooks will create in the process Maybe this no was a lot, but what say should, this no small case and about that eye to close, which widely He took it foot Soviet in years, appropriate no would be Dimitri Uznadze with face, case we have of the world of history Excellent with the teacher of the school with the teacher of history the best with the promoter. of the world of history first National school textbooks with the author. Georgian of historiography in front of his main The merit, we think, lies in this should to be (Kalandadze, 2016. 5). especially painfully we consider he the circumstance that that extreme in the situation, time little In the section, in fact, in the note, more make can't anymore reached a lot ok idea unimplemented Stay until the end no was brought. of the world of history promotion scientific in research no grew up.

ours deep With faith, Dimitri Uznadze this specialty that followed by in Georgia old of the world of history Excellent lecturer and this field one - one competent specialist would be this no you are alone Litton words this perspective and potential really There was, but he all other way chose which again more successful it turned out he Georgian psychological school the patriarch became but Georgian in historiography like a meteor it flashed and reached own trace to leave him choice Had Good and better between he bet this on the last one did It turned out that this correct step was ours In my opinion, Dimitri Uznadze XX century the beginning Georgian In historiography, positivist direction one - one conspicuous as a representative it is possible to consider (Kalandadze, 2013, 113).

we mainly we are learning Dimitri Uznadze views new of history the key on issues. Now We expanded research area and in it old of the world

of history issues Turn on. this has meaning and this in the field Dimitri Uznadze by filed on the contribution A perfect, exhaustive, representation will form us. this at an angle of the issue coverage interesting and topically we consider It should be noted that Dimitri Uznadze of creativity this side is unexplored and wide for the community Much more It is less famous. therefore appropriately considered fill us up Georgian in historiography existing this vacuum and this at an angle to cover us Dimitri Uznadze creativity. Prof. Boris of pistons with words that Let 's say this They were " virginal ". topic "

pervious The work as From the title too It seems, historiographical Character wears and to aim aims to find out concrete The question is, what kind of thing? it is possible to be Dimitri Uznadze attitude of Carthage outstanding commander, to Hannibal (247 or 246, 183 or 182 BC). this perspective of the issue coverage interesting and actual. history embody people, especially big People, English historian, Arnold Toynbee said It 's creative minority "

in Georgia of Hannibal with life and by activity interest own tradition has and interesting way I passed, which all no was wearing Such Straight, uniform character as this big for a long time during They thought he interesting color change experienced. In fact, the case we have of the issue with periodization. he Obviously s is removed, holy academic frames and Sharply distinctly political suit looking for as a basis. It is like this reality. in Georgia of Hannibal with life and by activity interest Conditionally three periodically it is possible to divide

of Hannibal of life and activity Coverage in 1918-1921 of Georgia sovereign in the republic. he scientific - popular Character received And, above all, reflection Pova old the world of history first nationally school in textbooks. is intersected of Hannibal by activity of interest Contours, guidelines, symptoms, first signs. of Georgia sovereign Republic own contribution filed and whenever possible tried in front took it of Hannibal activity Teaching, learning, popularization. therefore this section Elimination, elimination this field From the story of R. T this was happening Council T. A In years, it is expedient no i k neba and his I'm not here anymore Adequate U r picture Can't draws us. first steps correct Retire, well They counted. accent is done free On thinking, historical to events Multivariate approach, pluralism, progressive liberal on values. well should to have conscious in history personality role. of Hannibal to work Positive attitude this logical to be crowned appears to us. this was to the issue scientific An attitude that he naturally has own Pluses and cons. what Do it too managed to they did which Can't managed to Undone remained. a lot ok idea unimplemented remained. his to the end bringing can't anymore

reached existed big Probability that this way It would continue, but in fact, this so no happened mainly political cataclysms Because, Georgian of historiography development all other by way of It happened, all in all other Character bought which initial of the road In continuation, forward Step by step, it is difficult consider here Such was reality which big for a long time During the totalitarian - Bolshevik period mode with effort, wide of society visible beyond it turned out

2. of Hannibal of life and activity Study Soviet period Georgian in historiography (1921-1991). of Hannibal activity study scientific hue bought of empiricism, factology level. progress It is obvious and this Neglect correct no should Be, but he unilateral Character received and one narrowly dogmatic understood Marxist - Leninist worldview in the mold It turned out Converted. Aman condition Soviet period Georgian of historiography theoretical, methodological in terms of Discoloration. happened Historical of science Stalinization, unification. To a large extent, exactly with this It is explained that to Hannibal Positive attitude replaced more restrained The approach which in history personality role underestimation Echo It was heard. history no hand in hand one the truth. this Historical of science of death equal to would be this the way in a dead end leads to Soviet of historiography crisis The key too right here I'm looking for (1957, Kovalyov, Korovkin, 1970. Old... 1952).

Soviet period Georgian in historiography of Hannibal by activity of interest peak Prof. Gran Kavtaria interesting scientific - popular work represents him Holy Cognitive load has and that period Georgian of historiography important for purchase Appears (Kavtaria, 1979). We think it is desirable would be the paper attached had sources and of historiography short Review. this interesting would be and in terms of content again more would make him rich The work, but, it seems, the work scientific - popular from character Accordingly, he head withheld this from doing should to say that this has his Reason, thought.

3. of Hannibal of life and activity Study Post-Soviet period Georgian in historiography. he The 90s begin years At the beginning, when broke up Soviet connection and even today continues Modern Georgian Historiography, first Above all, he tries to overcome Marxist stereotypes and Stamps, refusal to say in history personality to the role restrained Attitude, which dominated Soviet In historiography, interestingly approached of Hannibal work. Modern Georgian Historiography trying correct on the way to return, correct of choice to do, right accents to ask This, of course, is a lot it 's good Georgian Historiography is trying to return and to develop initial values. they gave us in history personality role objective Interpretation. Can't let's say as if Georgian in historiography this in the direction nothing to be done. this correct no will be positive shifts really It is noticeable, but this very it is little to be done First again very there are many whole effort

right this in the direction should be taken Pagan.

of Carthage outstanding Commander Hannibal, second Punic One of the wars (218-201 BC). the most more Outstanding and Colorful figure was antique Authors, second Punic the war often of Hannibal war too They called and this has own Reason, thought. therefore We think that the interest of S deprived of no will be let's try to find out If how it is possible to be Dimitri Uznadze attitude all of time one - one the greatest Mkhartartchrav, of Hannibal to work. this at an angle of the issue coverage interesting and topically we consider this would be again one advanced step Dimitri Uznadze by Historical in science Filed contribution study in the case.

first above all should It should be noted that ours Source knowledge base quite Modestly is used. This is it school Manual. This, what? say I must, very much it is little (Maybe Let 's think that Dimitri Uznadze this on the issue about that Much more more more knew than this manual this small from the section can be seen this this on the issue his of knowledge only one It is a fragment of which filing too school in the manual necessary recognized therefore We believe that this textbooks this in the field Dimitri Uznadze rich scientific on potential Perfect, exhaustive representation Can't forms us. he old the world history that followed by sure We are, this field Excellent lecturer and one - one competent specialist would be). Dimitri Uznadze his school In the manual " Old Rome ", naturally, considers second Punic the war and About hers main To the hero, Hannibal. other, more tangible material, except school this of the manual, which allow will give us wide discuss unfortunately, should to say that No we have therefore other solution no is, that should let's believe which we have If well you will observe this little in information We will read it if how it is possible to be Dimitri Uznadze attitude of Hannibal to work. main, That 's right this should to be therefore this material Underestimation appropriate no would be

manual this section, conditionally, Mon as a part it is possible to divide in the first It's a conversation second Punic on the war, on Hannibal, how on the commander. second in the part Yes tells us of Hannibal on work second Punic of war Then, the accent is done hers political on the activity.

manual this section no represents of facts dry narrating. this no you are of facts simple heap. This kind of thing attitude interesting no It would be him Cognitive load has he trying No alone tell us on the past, but find out of what happened In meaning, to try his evaluation. this hers reasoning historiographical charges adds and allow gives us let's try find out If how it is possible to be his attitude this of the event or to the person.

this on the subject his reasoning today's from the perspective

discussion appropriate no should Be easy by way of to walk would be and hypercritically we consider this Simple, mimetic reasoning Holy Cognitive load has and Georgian Society, first in line Yes, what? say Must, student youth well would follow and of Hannibal on work in general representation would form main right, Here it is should to be

The main thing is correct criterion Selection. this big meaning has and will help us this in the matter Dimitri Uznadze by Filed contribution adequately in the definition. here Strict scientific criterion to measure no It will work. Such high tasks Dimitri Uznadze no reflected case its about school of the manual. him Much more more Modest purpose Had and Holy you will get to know me load has this cultural tracer the mission it is possible to say that he by no means when coped Head, this his success was he own contribution filed and tried to whenever possible in front took it in Georgia of Hannibal of life and activity teaching, learning, promotion case this in the matter his Merit, we think, that's right in this should to be

Mainly, totalitarian - Bolshevik mode with effort, in Georgia of Hannibal activity coverage common picture and periodization curved it was of facts on the contrary They claimed that of Georgia before Sovietization this on the issue serious nothing It was written. in fact, this so no there was of Hannibal of life and activity coverage first contours is intersected No Soviet period Georgian in historiography, as this big for a long time during They insisted to us, but Much more more early, First in 1918-1921, of Georgia sovereign in the republic. that in years out old of the world of history first National school Manuals, we think of this clear Illustration should to be of Hannibal activity coverage scientific - popular Character bought and mainly reflection Pova that in years Out old the world of history first nationally school in textbooks. here It is like this The reality that Soviet period carefully were taking care of page. Such was political will this only this big case the beginning was Mainly, political cataclysms Because of Georgia A little bit of history gold Age " quite short it turned out To a large extent, exactly with this It is explained that many ok They will think Obviously, among these case to the end ca n't bring succeeded. starting with case first steps no broke up and to continue no followed.

on Hannibal Dimitri Uznadze reasoning two side has, which conditionally it is possible Let's call it general, so theoretical side and concrete Empirical, factual issues. here, of course, will emerge two The problem of which solution big meaning has and this in the case Dimitri Uznadze by charged on the contribution A perfect, exhaustive, representation He would form us. how appreciated he of Hannibal of life activity and how much insight revealed hers in the activity.

mentioned on the issue Dimitri Uznadze reasoning main as dignity We believe :

first above all should It should be noted that Dimitri Uznadze of

Hannibal on work quite high of opinion should to be seen and him positively appreciated. This kind of thing Attitude is obviously random no has been and his reasons First of all, Ms in worldview should Let's look for it. this in history personality role scientific evaluation Echo It was heard.

Dimitri Uznadze in short tells us of Hannibal of life and on work and this on the issue quite informed should be what actual material of knowledge logical as a result appears to us. correct methodological approach and Empirical, actual, material ok Knowledge, ours In my opinion, this on the subject Dimitri Uznadze reasoning main plus it is possible consider sources Knowledge this on the subject Dimitri Uznadze reasoning again one It is a dignity which out of sight no should to sneak up on us. one - one Of them, ours careful Presumably, as out of context seems to Titus of Livius essay it is possible to be

Now probably came up time main on the issue let 's go how covered Dimitri Uznadze his in the manual second Punic the war and of Hannibal work ? (Uznadze, 1920. 34-39).

Probably not We will exceed If We will say that his of the narrative in the center stands of Carthage Commander, Hannibal, colorful Figure. very much symptomatically We believe that whole second Punic of war History, in fact, of Hannibal biography to the narrative represents him very well should to have conscious he big role which fulfilled Hannibal this in the war he in the trace follows in antiquity tradition and second Punic the war considered as of Hannibal the war this has own Reason, thought. here reflected completely real situation and in it in history personality role Realization echoes we see

first Of course, everything will come up issue what It was Dimitri Uznadze second Punic of war start the reason issue is interesting and actual. he this is connected BC - 218 BC of Carthage by Greek Akhalshen's of the team in hand to throw this was correct Approach (Titus Livius 1981. 4-19; Tsereteli, 1957, 156-157. Kavtaradze, 1979. 28-30, Kavtaria, 2016. 178-180). " When Carthage Domestic disagreement last He took it To compensate for the loss (laughter). started thinking Hamilcar Barca, which First of laughter of war time and then Domestic rebellion to suppress his homeland big job He gave it to the commander They chose. he his operational as an arena Spain chose which partially of Carthage in hand was and all a little for a long time during the whole island river to the Hebrew the new one the commander in hand put in of Hamilcar of death then of Carthage success his under the leadership of the deputy (Hasdurbali). was developing, which there of silver with ore rich in the part Akhalshen " New He founded Carthage. Romans this time for Carthage no They were tired and Forced were created with that They were satisfied that Ebro beyond located for lands and See also Rome patronage under being of the Greeks Akhalshen's for the band hand now They were banned. until of Carthage of the army as

commander in chief Hasdrubal It was considered the same Rome request clearly They performed, but as Yes them to the army at the top of Hamilcar Son, talented and experienced Warrior, Hannibal was treated (it to the Romans limitless with contempt was soaked), clear It was created that with the Romans the war necessary was Hannibal with that began to Rome patronage under present to the team myself attacked and eight of the month heavy siege then obeyed. Roman of Carthage The issue of the commander (i.e. HannibalM.K.). and of the team of loss restoration requested and when this on requests refusal Received, war action They started “ (Uznadze, 1920. 34-35).

attention we will attract Mon Circumstances : a) As It is seen, of Sagunti accident Dimitri Uznadze more second Punic of war start as a reason it is possible relate to and No as an excuse This kind of thing approach Controversial it is possible to be in fact, this an excuse more should Chandes (Kavtaria, 1979, 28, G. Kavtaria, 2016. 178). We think it is desirable would be that second Punic of war the reason and an excuse between connection Much more more Transparent to be b) desired would be indicated to be of the team fall Date. this the fact big meaning Had and of the date name as we We think you are probably wrong no would be this happened In 218 before Christmas, right ? no It was better of Hamilcar Death of the date Name ? this of Carthage of politics important moment was and Historical load has right here we will buy that of Hannibal father’s Hamilcar to Barca Dimitri Uznadze attitude, big likely to Positive it is possible to be

We think again more Transparent should to be of Hannibal promotion Reasons. it of Hasdrubal Tragically with death is associated with he his warriors in front of the eyes killed one iberma, who all kinds cruel torture bravely endure Categorical refusal said to name Associates and bravely executed. this happened 221 before Christmas (Titus Livius, 1981. 5. Tsereteli, 1957, 156. Kavtaria 1979 24. Kavtaria 2016. 178). this fact It is interesting in terms of content would make him rich manual this section and only They will win me points was purchased. We think that would be interested students. him with accompanied something romantic Charm.

of Hannibal activity weak The sides on which they are talking antique Authors, Nebsit If unintentionally in the shade it turned out this easy Is it clear and to Hannibal with good will should to be due to despite to Hannibal sympathy Dimitri Uznadze for the sake of should to say that he of Carthage of the commander-in-chief as an apologist difficult would be consider this section no represents of Hannibal panegyric. This, of course, is a lot it ‘s good Let’s listen Dimitri Uznadze, here what wrote He : “ Second Punic of war procession of Hannibal next to the name is tightly connected. his father Hamilcar, own son, Hannibal, in Spain took away and whole severity warlike of life with him together transferred. Panibal of war in the atmosphere grew up and mentally all this feature bought which of war

in the process appears and it is confirmed. For him sleepless nights and hungry days you are welcome. It was a failure and intolerance, especially of the enemy towards her. Second by nature turned into this. Despite it anyway quite educated was and State papers in Greek in the language wrote. At the same time during character hardness too much allow was giving. This is his nationally colorful composition army completely to obey and own will blind as an executor turned into Hannibal, at the same time during clear of mind a person was, to whom power it would fit of the enemy weak parties discovery and this the last one own goals according to of use. of Hannibal with face Rome the greatest opponent appeared and hers against Special Caution used to" (Uznadze, 1920. 35). this in case Dimitri Uznadze is trying it is true

manual this section this in the matter Georgian terminology determination first as an attempt appears to us. as first The effort is, of course, impeccable. Can't would be Dimitri Uznadze suggests The term " Punic wars ". further period Georgian in historiography Yes established The term " Punic ". war ".

Dimitri Uznadze line asked that of Hannibal action no was wearing spontaneous natural Character and he acted well developed plan according to of the author indicates us of Hannibal again one positive. On the property, it no was alone big Mkhedartamtavari, at the same time time he you are ok Diplomat. this two feature in it well was combined. which him for the Romans doubly so dangerous by force was turning Hannibal the war Pre-defined plan according to started he knew that Rome overcome only that in case could if him condition That's why it would be difficult he, the previous one Above all, to Rome hostile moody with the Gauls held Negotiation, and his on the side Downloaded. thus North of Italy Support for him secured was average in Italy Rome allies of rebellion hope Had and with that of Illyria of affairs due to with Rome confused Macedonia with the king Agreement arranged. At the same time time of Carthage to the fleet of Italy on the shores by attack people in fear should H would have. thus of war as an arena No Spain or It's laughter should to be, but of Italy on the soil should "(Uznadze 1920. p. 35-36).

Obviously, handpicked neither Rome there was he tried to countermeasures to receive him own plan had The Romans all otherwise They thought therefore them one Consul in Spain They sent, while second in Sicily. until first Consul Hannibal attended already overcame Pyrenees mountains and North in Italy appeared where Galeb I see rebellion the flag They waved. Hannibal Meanwhile Alps too passed and to the enemy First near Ticino and then with Trebia crashed. Both fight of the Romans cruel by defeat It ended (Uznadze, 1920. 36). desired would be mentioned to be that of Ticinius and No with Tochino, as this Dimitri Uznadze writes, in battle in the head was wounded of the Romans Commander Scipio

and he from death saved Its 17 years old the son Cornelius Scipio, who Later, they called him “ African “ (Titus Livius, 1981, 43. Tsereteli, 1957, 158. Kavtaria, 1979. 48. Kavtaria, 2016. 187). this very would be fine this section and Illness in content would make him rich to him this Cognitive load We think he had disciples with interest perceived to him we far away we are that from thought as if Dimitri Uznadze this fact no knew Obviously, this so It is not. why aura page It ‘s hard for him It has to be said. It seems that he in detail considered and in the manual from filing head withheld desired Yes no would be indicated to be with Tatinius and with Trebia battle the dates this Yes in Italy of Hannibal first good luck was this Cognitive load had this happened in 218 BC.

Hannibal the way the middle to Italy took “ Hannibal easily could of the Romans alive balances complete destruction, which North fortifications sheltered Chapter (Cremon), but him average to Italy He was rushing to where allies by Rome against rebellion arrangement wanted That ‘s why he over there went Hannibal in 2017 Consul The army, which to the south going ways Had Busy page aura and only of Trazumen by the lake lucked out fight Romans severely They were defeated. to Hannibal Now on Rome siege strike could, but him Samnium and campaign more That ‘s why he was interested Rome aside left happened that rich Capua Rome no He stepped down and this was The reason that that year During (means 2 0 17 years M. K.) Hannibal Forced became Rome with the dictator, Fabius Barren near Maximus “ Kunctatorne “ (Nellie). little with clashes was limited. winter he rich in Apulia spent where own army of the Romans Military on Qaeda transformation. this hand helped Countless A weapon that he battles time in hand “(Uznadze, 1920. 36).

here will emerge two Question : What? place has surrendered in the manual Quintus Fabius Maximus role second Punic in the war and how it is possible to be Dimitri Uznadze attitude hers to work. this perspective of the issue Coverage, we think, interest deprived of no will be we We tried We found out this the issue

here this is all, which in the manual it is said Quintus Fabius at the maximum. what say should, this very there is little and second Punic in the war hers on the role A perfect, exhaustive, representation Can’t creates us. second Panic in the war of Hannibal and Publius Cornelius of Scipio Then, one by one the most Colorful figure right he should to be seen hers next to the name was associated with of Hannibal against battle Effective tactics. he considered General on the battle refusal to say and accent was doing fine - fine on clashes. this way all the same by popularity no enjoyed, but as Historians They note the given moment of action the most more reasonable plan was (nebsit If unintentionally I remember Barclay De equal to 1812 of war time). Dimitri Uznadze Fabius Quintus Maximus activity positively If negatively was watching something hints about that

as if Readable, but this very it is little shadow more is more than Light for everything this that to the point leads to that Dimitri Uznadze attitude Fabius Quintus to Maxim indifferent Yes no would it be

in the manual Quantum Fabius Maximus role coverage certain failure feeling He left us and, ours In my opinion, this on the subject Dimitri Uznadze reasoning of Achilles as a heel it is possible consider difficult to say as if this The clashes were “ fruitless “ (Uznadze, 1920, 36).

here should let's touch One, ours In my opinion, in principle the issue which school for the guide big meaning has, cognitive load has and of students by Empirical material with absorption is associated with what by dose it is possible to deliver school to the student actual the material issue is Discussion and very much actually was No If then, XX century In the beginning, but even today. therefore this to the issue Dimitri Uznadze attitude Decoding, we think, is interesting would be we this issue so It looks like : absolutely We agree Dimitri Uznadze, when him school manual actual with material overload appropriately no refers to this was correct Approach that this on the subject his point of view It's a plus. he tried school manual Empirical actual from the material Unloading, it is clear, too It's good, but all other in the extreme It turned out and, ours In my opinion, it is possible a little too much came to him he its about throughout Reg important events, but for some reason of the date from reference myself Takes what, as we We think it is right no should to be This kind of thing Approach, what? say It should be empty on the soil no emerged. We think here reflected completely real the situation he this of the issue solution optimal option search in the process was present.

of Hannibal activity Peak, his Military Good luck At the top, what? say must, represents with Cannes fight which happened in 216 BC. this for Hannibal It 's the same as Alexander for the Macedonian near Gavgamela Battle (331 BC) for Napoleon near Austerlitz Battle (December 2, 1805). with Cannes fight Military Historians completely fairly they consider Military of art as a classic. this from the battle big for a long time exit After that, Ms discussion quite big attention They dedicate (Schliffen, 1938, 11-15. Delbruk, 1936).

his In the guide “ Rome History “ Dimitri Uznadze, what? say It should stop with Cannes on the battle and In short, succinctly tells us On it, initially should It should be noted that he indicates with Cannes battle on the date. he happened in 216 BC. speaks hers on the result. The Romans the last one power used and decisive for fighting They prepared, which in 216 It happened near Cana (Apulia). despite of the Romans Numerical Advantage, struggle Their by defeat it 's over as a result South of Italy Allies Rome came down (by the way, Capua and to Hannibal joined. them Macedonia King (Philip V) and At the end, Syracuse too was added. everything Such face received that Rome the end Undoubtedly, it was

happening “ (Uznadze, 1920. 36-37).

Probably desirable It would be all a couple In a word, of fact finding level, it was said, If why withheld head Hannibal at Cannes in battle victory Then, on Rome from hikes. issue is interesting famous Historian Plutarch amazed was of Hannibal by action. They think that of Hannibal Inaction saved Rome complete from the catastrophe (Kavtaria. 1979. 74). of Hannibal by behavior disappointed Maharbal He said: “ Gods one to a person with everything when They reward you Harbal, twenty victory Obtaining, but with victory benefit able “ (Titus Livius, 1981. 91). this has something romantic Charm, in terms of content this Gaach n ia something romantic Charm, in terms of content, again more would make him rich this section and disciples attention I would be attracted to him.

with Cannes battle then events as follows developed, Roman Diplomacy big effort and foresight appearance it took in order to condition gradually to fix it. Rome disaster in front of it turned out “ In 215 Romans again fate He smiled. Self in Italy young Consul P. Scipio of Apulia allies to join the case successfully carried out and, Here in Sicily, which of Syracuse resignation then Rome completely out of hand He bled, the case turned around. in 214 Syracuse they took and in 210 whole island of the Romans in hand moved in Greece, where Rome against Macedonia the king Acted in 205 It's over, old purchase there again of the Romans in hand remained, while Macedonia the king to Hannibal He moved away. Spain, which of Hannibal of strength you feed me the veins represented Rome case first in ages backwards It was going on, but him After that here Commander in Chief young P. Cornelius of Scipio in hand moved, whose case returned and by 206 whole peninsula from the Carthaginians They cleaned it” (Uznadze. 1920. 37).

attention we will attract some on the circumstances. let's start by that here Dimitri Uznadze reveals actual in the material insight and which the main thing is indicates to him this, Obviously, very much it's good and his this reasoning plus appears to us. Now this the issue a little other at an angle Let's look. If Dimitri Uznadze wanted to manual from the facts unloading and this him really he wanted and we in this him completely we hold Support, then, ours I think so No It was better this make this of facts at the expense of and No of Hannibal first triumphant Good luck in the background manual actual from the material Unloading in the matter Dimitri Uznadze position on the contrary It seems to us, failure feeling He left us and matching desire He showed us. Dimitri Uznadze its about of Syracuse to fall and indicates hers on the date. desired would be to mention that of Syracuse in defense actively was tied up famous mathematician, Archimedes (Titus Livius, 1981. 146-147). this Cognitive load had and in terms of content again more would make him rich hers narrating. We think this for students interesting would be what say Must

be far away we are that from thought as if Dimitri Uznadze no knew this fact. this correct no It would be, but why aura he him page, difficult It has to be said. therefore Such decision a little strangely It seems to us and manual actual with material with unloading Yes no associated with If this assumption That's right, then Dimitri Uznadze this Step, ours In my opinion, controversial it is possible to be

time of the Romans in favor of worked this Hannibal well He understood. he allies was looking for and help He asked. " In Italy, regardless that Kane victory then of Hannibal promised Help from nowhere no He was coming anyway was able to of Tarent taking and of the Greeks of new buildings download of the Romans case here him then It was fixed Capua again them They failed in hand At the end and At the end of Hannibal fate that Circumstances decided that from Spain hers to help future his Brother, Hasdrubal, the Romans They defeated from Spain returned Cornelius Scipio battle Arena Self in Africa transferred and in 202 with Zama decisive fight arranged which his complete with victory It's over " (Uznadze, 1920. 37).

second Punic the war of Carthage by defeat It ended. the winner Rome hers by by force Carthage of the truce heavy conditions offered. relaxation Date indicated No, which is possible because of that It is explained that at the beginning is indicated second Punic of war Date 218-201 BC. Such a step has own Reason, thought and here Controversial Nothing we see Carthage Forced became for Rome truce asked which for him devastating with conditions Sheikra : 1. Carthage He was giving up Rome in favor of the whole Spain 2. 50 years old during was paying contribution, 3. was giving Rome throughout Military The fleet was losing 4 Right, Rome with permission for someone the war to announce " (Uznadze, 1920. 37). as We see, Dimitri Uznadze seasoning negotiations on the conditions well is informed and quite looked into should Chandes (Tsereteli, 1957. 170. Kavtaria, 1979. 141. Kavtaria, 2016. 239).

second Punic on the war talk here it is possible to finish us If No one the circumstance which this of war one - one main the hero Publius Cornelius of Scipio It's next to the name connected. We think the interest deprived of no will be let's try find out how it is possible to be Dimitri Uznadze attitude to Scipio. this perspective of the issue coverage interesting and topically we consider one At a glance, yes it is possible seem to as if to Hannibal benevolent Dimitri Uznadze, Scipioni to which overcame Hannibal, negatively could be to be moody this on the surface I'm looking for reality all It is different. school manual from the format based on categorically you are welcome no We confirm, but We think that his attitude Cornelius Publius To Scipio, positive it is possible It was, of course, accidental no and in history personality role Realization logical It is the result. this this on the subject his reasoning again one It's a plus. of Scipio glorification

First again Antiquity the authors laid down the basis Their one - one Tony as a giver famous Roman historian, tattoo Livius Appears to us (Titus Livius, 1981 239-243, 334-336). this trend as a leitmotif 19th -20th century century European Historiography (Историография... 1981). At the same time time should It should be noted that tattoo Livius objectivity appears Rome swear the enemy of Hannibal evaluation time and on it quite high of opinion can be seen (Titus Livius. Vol., 1981, 304-330. R. Gogolashvili, 1998. 196). It should be noted that Scipio on Carthage victory As a sign, they called him " African ". Dimitri Uznadze for some reason Crint no To the engine : accent is done of fact on the statement. here argument in principle Character no wears and more taste It is a question. him could issue so too decided and as well. he so decided and in this unacceptable Nothing we see he decided that so more the best would be we Yes We think that otherwise would be more good Probably desirable would be this mentioned to be this with accompanies something romantic Charm, which It will probably be of interest to students deprived of no would be

This, in fact, ends this section one part. second Punic the war so Hannibal as Commander in Chief and begins second The part that About of Hannibal activity second Punic in the war defeat then and his Attention in the center stands Hannibal as Politician. this division It is interesting. let's Now this the issue a little other perspective Let's look. We think this interest deprived of no would be we We tried this in division of Hannibal of life and activity on periodization Dimitri Uznadze Attitude Hint asked us. We will say it be careful It is assumed that Dimitri Uznadze of Hannibal life and activity conditionally Mon periodically it is possible let it be them between watershed second Punic in the war experienced It's a failure. Hannibal as Mkhedartmavari, the second Punic the war and Hannibal second Punic in the war defeat then when main accent is done hers political on the activity.

of the truce then of Hannibal life and activity his activity In conclusion as a chord appears to us. what say Must, Carthage at all no was going to luck reconciled and Handpicked to sit down Carthage and hers Famous to the commander-in-chief in Revan They were thirsty. how were going to they this to do this no would be easy Case, " Carthage, as big State no more there was second Punic the war him independent of politics production The right too Yes it wo n't happen Left again Egypt, Macedonia, Syria and Greece. this the last one independent existence right no He had it only so much represented International attitude anything power as far as big States, especially Yes Macedonia and Rome on the contrary addressed forces as a base behaved " (Uznadze. 1920. 37-38).

Rome Diplomacy main the task defeated of Carthage and his celebrity Mkhartartchray, of Hannibal political insulation represented. " This from the states at first Macedonia understood fraction big of states from

the number to be removed. Romans Military action They started in 197 Macedonians in Thessaly (near Kinoscephalus). severely They were defeated. according to seasoning of the contract, Iginii (i.e. Macedonians - M.K.) throughout his Military to the fleet Rome They gave it and Rome with permission with someone of war before starting refused They said “ (Uznadze, 1920. 38). Macedonia of Carthage fate shared. Rome Macedonia of the truce the hardest conditions wrapped

in Eulad remaining Greeks ally search They started. Rome Consul to the Greeks announced that the same politically freedom They were, but Greece independent of life ability no more it would fit and therefore new Mr in search of Syria to the king Antiochus big applied. this the last one Roman - Macedonian of war time favorable Conditionally took advantage of the small of Asia on the shores located places captured and then of Europe on the continent as well moved (to Thrace). despite of the Romans of demand, he warlike Actions no stopped. and unhindered fight continued Antiochus, of the Romans old of the enemy, Hannibal with advice led by which according to Macedonia and in Greece Rebellion should was arranged. further Italy should They entered. new Punic the war should started and Thus Rome strength They fired, but Antiochus this big plan performance power no It would be enough. In 189 with magnesium (from Smyrna North - east) it Well severely It was defeated that Forced Created by the Romans seasoning conditions to receive, whose according to all Conquered on the place refused He said, the Romans in favor of big contribution was paying and his Military to the fleet He was talking. this from the day starting with Syria big of states from the list all “(Uznadze. 1920. 38-39).

as We see, Dimitri Uznadze quite informed should to be seen second Punic of war then International in the arena created on the situation and in it insight reveals. to the line asks that Rome Diplomacy anyway trying of Carthage political isolation and this successfully carried out of Hannibal try to was found Allies Barren it turned out attention captures one circumstance. Dimitri for Uznadzi actual Misdemeanors characteristic no you are and therefore this a little no let it be, We were surprised and suddenly It seemed to us. case It is that with magnesia and No with magnesium, as this Dimitri Uznadze writes, fight happened No In 189 BC, but one by the year early, in 190 BC.

Dimitri Uznadze very much many talks and completely Rightfully so, of Hannibal on hatred to Rome. this you are medal one The side that in the manual it's fine shown. the medal has second side Rome hostile attitude to Hannibal In the manual, ours In my opinion, relatively more Weakly, dimly it is possible let it be covered. here more more transparency would be desired alone to Hannibal Yes no hated it Rome, but which on the heart no He was painting of Carthage Commander- in-Chief, who has been

in charge for 15 years It was shocking Rome. hate bilateral was This, of course, is a lot well knows Dimitri Uznadze too, but in the manual he for some reason Crint no engine this on the side. with what will be explained Such the decision difficult It has to be said. Multilingually We believe that he Crint no engine that Regarding that BC - 195 BC Rome in Carthage Embassy sent and categorically demanded of Hannibal Transfer. here reflected completely real the situation this this to the fact Dimitri Uznadze approach as a climax It looks like us.

so We got closer of Hannibal Heroic epic At the end, Ms at the end Hannibal, of the Romans on request Forced Carthage was created to leave it Antiochus with the Syrian he went and Rome on the contrary new plans It was arranged, Antioch defeat Then, the Romans that in hand no He was falling killed “ (Uznadze, 1920. 38). this discuss general It seems to us. attention We will focus Mon on the circumstances. he no specifies of Hannibal of death the reason. for disciples this interesting would be as scientists It is assumed that he poison received (Tsereteli, 1957. 184. Kavtaria, 1979. 160, Lindsen, 1962. 208). desired was, in the manual Named to be of Hannibal Death Date. this Cognitive load had It is assumed that Maybe this happened BC - BC in 183 or 182, but Dimitri Uznadze about that silence chooses

So So, we think like this interesting picture we received Dimitri Uznadze own contribution filed and whenever possible tried in front took it in Georgia of Hannibal of life and activity Teaching, learning, popularization. to the issue approach is scientific. accent is done free On thinking, historical to events Multivariate approach, pluralism, progressive - liberal on values. This kind of thing Approach, what? say Must be random no and in 1918-1921 of Georgia sovereign in the Republic existing Democratic environment Echo will be heard this on the subject Dimitri Uznadze reasoning main as dignity We consider : 1. Dimitri Uznadze of Hannibal in the biography quite insight should to reveal and well can be seen Informed, which, first of all Everything, empirical, factual material with knowledge should to be due to 2. Dimitri Uznadze by of Hannibal activity Positive Rate completely moderate should let it be and Acceptable It can be seen that in history personality role Realization logical as a result appears to us.

as this of the topic coverage first Trying, of course, it perfect Can't would be some of the issue Further Perfection, refinement, ours In my opinion, excluded no should to be like this We believe : Quintus Fabius with Maximus Attitude. manual actual from the material Unloading In the matter, Dimitri Uznadze position on the contrary It seems certain failure feeling He left us and Preface desire He showed us. he all other in the extreme Yes no did it turn out in the manual used Terminology, obviously,

today already Coffee is outdated it passed of historiography passed stage represents exclusively no we consider manual this section in terms of content Enrichment. case we have in Georgia second Punic of war and his main the hero of Hannibal activity coverage first with the attempt, which, as we We think it was successful it is possible consider Him, we deep Faith, dignity Much more more more has than defect. therefore his Underestimation Today, obviously, it is outdated. coffee Gauvida, historiography passed It is a stage.

We think there is basis Let's prove that in Georgia of Hannibal of life and activity coverage time Correct, scientific by way of They were going right accents They were drinking, right choice were doing what first Above all, Hannibal's activity positive assessment and in history personality role with awareness was associated with big likely to this way It would continue, but Mainly, political cataclysms Because of this so no It happened in Georgia Sovietization then this with a problem interest all other direction received and all other Character bought which initial of the road In continuation, forward Step by step, it is difficult consider this with that expressed that to Hannibal benevolent attitude Replaced, more Restraint, approach, while in history personality role Realization, his Underestimation. from now on of history main as a hero person Yes No, people He showed us. this was correct from the road twist. here Such was reality which big for a long time During the totalitarian - Bolshevik period mode with effort, wide of society visible beyond it turned out Such was political will we We tried to correct us this Inconsistency. all goodness Soviet with reality when is associated with

REFERENCES

Gogolashvili, 1998. G. Golashvili R. Titus Livius - in the book Greek and Roman Historians.

Kalandadze, 2016. Kalandadze, M. Dimitri Uznadze of France big on the revolution and on Napoleon.

Kalandadze, 2013. Kalandadze, M. Dimitri Uznadze as Historian Annals, ¹ 13.

Kovalyov, 1957. Kovalyov old of the world History.

Korovin, 1970. Korovin, old of the world History.

Titus Livius, 1981 War with Hannibal.

Uznadze, 1920. Uznadze d. old Rome.

Kavtaria, 1979. Kavtaria c. Hannibal.



Kavtaria, 2016. Kavtaria c. Rome History.

old... 1952. Old of the world History. A. Mishuliani with the editorial office.

Tsereteli, 1957. Tsereteli Al. old Rome, Tom first,

Delbryuk, 1936. Delbryuk, Г. History of military experience in political history, volume 1.

Историография, 1982. Историография античной истории, под ред. Prof. В. Kuzimina.

London 1962. Lindsey, Hanibal.

Shliffen, 1938. Shliffen A. Cannes.